

Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

Economic Analysis of Integrated Pig cum Fish Farming in Assam

Abdul Aziz¹, Dr.Mamata Joysowal*, Dr.Anadamoy Mondal², Shiv Mohan Singh², Dr.Vikash Kumar³, Satyanarayan Boda³

Ph.D Scholar, Dept of Fishery Economics, FFSc, WBUAFS, Kol, India, <u>mr.azizahmed@rediffmail.com</u>¹ Corresponding Author: Ph.D Scholar, Dept of Animal Nutrition, NDRI, Karnal, India, <u>mamtajaiswal525@gmail.com</u>^{*} Associate Professor, Dept of Aquacuture, FFSc, WBUAFS, Kol, India² Pg Student, Dept of Fish Processing Technology, FFSc, WBUAFS, Kol, India² Agriculture Co-ordinator, Department of Agriculture, Patna, Bihar³ Ph.D Scholar, Dept of Fishery Economics, FFSc, WBUAFS, Kol, India³

Abstract: Integrated fish farming refers to the simultaneous culture of fish or shell fish along with other culture systems. It may also be defined as the sequential linkage between two or more culture practices. Generally integrated farming means the production or culture of two or more farming practices. Fish culture can be integrated with several systems for efficient resource utilisation. The integration of aquaculture with livestock or crop farming provides quality protein food, resource utilisation, recycling of farm waste, employment generation and economic development. On farm waste recycling, an important component of integrated fish farming is highly advantageous to the farmers as it improves the economy of production and decrease the adverse environmental impact of farming. Integrated Fish Farming is one of the best examples of mixed farming. This type of farming practices in different forms mostly in the East and South East Asian countries is one of the important ecological balanced sustainable technologies.

Keywords: Aquaculture, Livestock, Utilisation, Recycling, Integrated, Environmental

Introduction:

Intregated farming technology involves a combination of fish polyculture integrated with crop or live stock production. The pig manure contains about 70% of digestible food for fishes besides certain digestive enzymes (Zhang et. al 1997). It also provides nutrient base for planktons which are ultimately used by the fishes as natural food. Recently, increasing trend of pig farming has increased the availability of pig manures which can be successfully used for integrating pig-cum-fish farming. The 30-35 pig's waste may produce 1 tonn of Ammonium Sulphate and 40-45 pigs are adequate to fertilize 1 ha water area under polyculture (Othman K., 2006). Each pig requires about 3-4 sq.m floor space. This system of integration is very common in China, Taiwan, Vietnam, Thailand, Malaysia, Hungary and some European countries. The White Yorkshire, Hampshire and Landrace are the popular breed of pig for integration with fish. Pigs need clean housing which should provide adequate protection from adverse climates, (Othman K., 2006). The pigs are fed on pig mash which is made up of rice bran, rice polish, wheat-bran, broken maize, ground-nut oil cake, fish- meal mineral mixture, salt etc. The spoiled vegetables can also be mixed in it. This system provides about 3000-4000 kg/ha/yr fish, 4500 kg/yr pig meat and 800 no. of piglets every year, (Sankhayan P.L., 1998).



Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

Materials and Methods:

To conduct the study of "Economic analysis of Integrated pig cum fish farming in Assam" during the year of 2016-2017. Scientifically, a suitable research design was evolved in order to arrive at an authentic conclusion. The farm is situated at Nagaon District of Assam having the GPS location signal $6^{0}-60 - 25$ " E, $6^{0}-60'-35$ " E and $2^{0}-20'-27'$ " N, $2^{0}-20'-37'$ " N. The maximum temperature during summer rises around 39^{0} C, while minimum temperature during winter is around 100^{0} C. The average temperature during most part of the year is around 30^{0} C during day time.

The Plan of work sequence is:

Designing the Sampling Frame > Selection of two pig cum fish farm named by Station 1 and Station 2 at Nagaon District > Primary and secondary data collection > Drawing of Inference > Find out the Management > Strategies on the basis of the study.

Data collection were made by two ways; collection of existing data (secondary data) such as published literature, books, research articles and maps etc. of institutions. The present status, current problems and related legislation were collected from the literature survey. The collection of new data by filling of questionnaires was carried out. The collected data are later analyzed statistically by SPSS software application.

Results and Discussion:

The present dissertation gives a spectrum of information, identification of important input variables, threats and the required management for the sustainability of Pig cum fish farming. The value of construction cost in station 1 is Rs 2.4 lacs and in station II the expenditure of construction is Rs 2.9 lacs. For electric installation the cost is Rs 18,000 in station I and in station II the expenditure is 20,000. For watchmen shed the collected data is Rs 78,000 in station I and the expenditure is 9000 in station II as they repaired their own house for watchmen. The collected data on Lease amount in Station 1 is Rs 5 lacs and in station II is Rs 5.5 lacs. The collected data on Fertilise and productivity purpose is Rs 6.9 lacs and in station II is 7.4 lacs. The collected data on chemicals Station 1 is Rs 3 lacs and in station II is 3.5 lacs. For cost of seeds the collected data is Rs 16 lacs in station I and 17 lacs in station II. The average cost of feed expenditure for both pig farm and fish farm for station I is 3 lacs and for station II is also 3 lacs. The collected data on Fuel charge in Station 1 is Rs 34,000 and 37000 in station II. The collected data on Labour charges in Station 1 is almost 2.5 lacs and 3.7 lacs in station II.

Sl. No.	Particulars	Particulars Station I Station II							
А.	Capital Cost	Amount (Rs.in lakh)	Amount (Rs.in lakh)						
1	Construction	2.4	2.9						
2	Electric installation with electrification	0.18	0.20						
3	Equipments	1	1.2						
4	Miscellaneous	0.11	0.14						
5	Watchman shed	0.78	0.78 0.9						
6	Total	4.47	5.34						

Table $1 \cdot \Delta$	verage Econom	ics of Pig cum	n fish farming i	in Assam	during 2014.15
Table I : A	verage Econom	ics of Fig cui	n nsn farming i	III Assaill	auring 2014-15



Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

В.	Variable Cost	Amount (Rs.in lakh)	Amount (Rs.in lakh)	Comments					
1.	Lease amount	5	5.5						
2.	Fertilize and productivity purpose	6.9	7.4						
3.	Chemicals	3	3.5						
4.	Cost of seeds (Fish + Piglet)	16	17						
5.	Cost of feed (Fish + Pig)	Pig) 3 3							
6.	Fuel charges	0.06	0.07						
7.	Electricity charges	0.34	0.37						
8.	Labour charges	2.509	3.664						
9.	Annual maintenance and repairing	0.2	0.25						
	cost								
10.	Miscellaneous	0.07	0.09						
	Total	37.079	40.849						
	Total Input (capital cost + variable cost)	41.549	46.189						

Table 2 : Total Production of Station I :

Sl no	Species name	Production	Rate@/que	Amount (in lakh)						
		(in quintal)								
1	Rohu	200	11000	22						
2	Catla	180	13000	23.4						
3	Mrigal	100	9000	9						
4	Bata	100	9000	9						
5	Pigs	130	6000	7.8						
Total		71.2								
Profit : (out	put-input)	(71.2-41.549)=29.651 lakh								
P.I: output/	inputX100	71.2/41.549 X100=171.363								

Table 3 : Total Production of Station II :

Sl no	Species name	Production	Rate@/que	Amount (in lakh)							
		(in quintal)									
1	Rohu	220	12000	26.4							
2	Catla	210	14000	29.4							
3	Mrigal	100	10000	10							
4	Bata	100	10000	10							
5	Pigs	158	7000	11.06							
Total			86.86								
Profit : (ou	tput-input)		(86.86-46.189)=40.671 lakh								
P.I: output	/inputX100		86.86/46.189 X100=188.053								

From Table 4, it depicted the bivariate inter-correlation among all the variables (average value calculated for 1 Ha area, in all the cases viz. Lease amount, Fertilize and productivity purpose, Chemicals, Cost of seeds, Fuel charges, Electricity charges, Labour charges, Annual maintenance and repairing cost, Miscellaneous, Total Variable Cost, Total Input, Total Output, Profit under consideration. Firstly considering the correlation between **Lease amounts** Cost with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Annual maintenance and repairing cost, Miscellaneous, Miscellaneous, Chemicals, Cost of seeds, Total Variable Cost, Total Input, Profit low positive correlation with equipments, Miscellaneous, Chemicals, Cost of seeds, Total Variable Cost, Total Input, Profit low positive



Abdul Aziz et al, International Journal of Advances in Agricultural Science and Technology,

Vol.5 Issue.3, March- 2018, pg. 64-70

ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.057

NAAS Rating: 3.77

correlation with Fuel charges, Electricity charges, Labour charges. Secondly, considering the correlation between fertilize a Cost with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Miscellaneous, Total Variable Cost, Total Output, Profit moderate positive correlation with Chemicals, Cost of seeds Fuel charges, Electricity charges, Labour charges, Annual maintenance and repairing cost. Thirdly considering the correlation between Chemicals Cost with electrification other variables there exist a significant moderate positive correlation with Fuel charges, Electricity charges, Labour charges, Annual maintenance and repairing cost ,Miscellaneous low positive correlation with, Cost of seeds, Total Variable Cost ,Total Input ,Total Output, Profit. Forthly considering the correlation between Cost of seeds with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Cost of seeds Fuel charges, Electricity charges ,Labour charges, Total Variable Cost, Total Input, Total Output, Profit moderate positive correlation with Annual maintenance and repairing cost ,Miscellaneous. Fifthly considering the correlation between Fuel charges with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Electricity charges ,Labour charges , Total Variable Cost , Total Output, Profit low positive correlation with Annual maintenance and repairing cost, Miscellaneous . Sixth, considering the correlation between Electricity charges with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Labour charges .Annual maintenance and repairing cost ,Miscellaneous ,Total Variable Cost ,Total Input ,Total Output, Profit low positive correlation with Annual maintenance and repairing cost. Seventh, considering the correlation between Labour charges with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation Total Variable Cost ,Total Input ,Total Output, Profit moderate positive correlation with Annual maintenance and repairing cost ,Miscellaneous. Eighth considering the correlation between Annual maintenance and repairing cost with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Profit moderate positive value correlation with Total Variable Cost ,Total Input ,Total Output. Ninth considering the correlation between Miscellaneous with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Total Variable Cost , Total Input , Total Output, moderate positive correlation with profit. Tenth considering the correlation between Total Variable Cost with electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Total Input, Total Output, profit. Eleventh considering the correlation between Total **Input** electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with Total Output, profit. Twelvth considering the correlation between **Total Output** electrification other variables there exist a significant high positive correlation with profit.

Table 4: Correlation Matrix for Average Economics (unit 1Ha) comprising two bheri fisheries culture at Dhapa canal of East Kolkata during -2014 (June-Dec)

	Со	Е	equ	Wa	Mi	Tot	Le	Li	С	С	Co	Fu	Ele	La	ma	Mi	Tot	Tot	Т	Profit
	nst	le	ip	tch	scel	al	ase	mi	h	0	st	el	ctri	bo	int	scel	al	al	ot	
	ruc	ct	me	ma	lan	cap	am	ng	e	st	of	cha	city	ur	ena	lan	Va	Inp	al	
	tio	ri	nts	n	eou	ital	ou	an	m	of	Fee	rge	cha	cha	nce	eou	ria	ut	0	
	n	с		she	s	coa	nt	d	ic	se	d	s	rge	rge	/re	s	ble		ut	
		in		d		t		ma	al	e			s	s	pai		Co		р	
		st						nur	s	d					rin		st		ut	
		al						e		s					g					
															cos					
		u													ι					
		0 n																		
Construct	1																			
ion	1																			
Electric																				
	.92																			
installatio		1																		
	0																			
n																				
equipmen		.9																		
ts	.87	9	1																	



Impact Factor: 6.057

	_	_		_	-	_	_		. .	_	_		NAAS Rating: 3.77								
	6																						
NC 11		5				'								ļ!	└───	┣──				ļ			
Miscellan	.99	.9	.91																				
eous		4		1								l											
	7	8	1									l		l									
Watchma	.26	.6	.69	.34												 	<u> </u>						
n shed	8	2	9	3	1							1			<u> </u>								
TT / 1	· · · · ·			· · · · · ·		·			1		· · · · · ·	.							 7	r			
Total	.94	.9	.98	.96	.56																		
Capital		9				1																	
Cost	7	7 [°]	5	9	3	┢───┦																	
Lease	.72	د.	.30	.66	-	.46																	
amount		9			.47		1																
I	3	4	0	6	2	3																	
Liming		.9													┟───┦								
A	.69	2	.95	.75	.87	.89	.01	1															
anu	8	۷	7	2	7	1	0	1															
manure		3																					
Chemical	58	.8	90	64	93	81	-	98															
s	.50	5	.70	.04	.,,	.01	.13	.70	1														
	5	ć	4	7	8	5	7	9															
Cost of	_	.3					-		.7	┝─┤					┟───┦	┢───┦							
	27		.41	.00	.94	.24	- 4	.66															
seeds	.07	2	3	2	0	8	.74	1	6	1													
	7	0	Ű	Ĺ	Ŭ	Ŭ	4		4														
Cost of									-	-					[ſ	[[[]				
Feed	.49	.1	.00	.42	-	.18	.95	-	.4	.9													
		0			.70		_	.28			1												
	0	9	9	0	8	4	7	2	2	0													
					, T				0														
Fuel	51	.8	86	58	96	76	-	97	.9	.8	-				[[[[[
charges	.31	1	.00	.50	.90	.70	.22	.71	9	1	.49	1											
-	6		5	1	4	3	0	3	_	_													
Electricit		.9		1.0		┟───┦	0		.6	5	4				┟───┦	┢───┦	┨───┤		$\left - \right $				
Licenter	.99		.91	*	.34	.96	.66	.75			.42	.57											
y charges	7	4	0	00 *	0	9	8	0	4	0	3	9	1										
	,	7	U U		0		U	Ŭ	5	0	د												
Labour	26	.7	76	12	00	(1	-	02	.9	.9	-	09	12										
charges	.30	0	./6	.45	.99	.04	.37	.92	6	0	.63	.98	.45	1									
oning	6		9	8	5	5		2				6	5										
		1				┟───┦	9		9	0	2				┟───┤								
maintena	.62	.0	.92	.68	.92	.84	-	.99	.9	./	-	.99	.67	.95									
nce		7					.09		9	3	.37				1								
/renairing	1	9	2	. 11	1	- 0	2	5	- 91	4	8	1 2	9	- 7	1 1				. ,				



Impact Factor: 6.057

.

																N	IAAS	Rati	ng: 3	3.77
Miscellan eous	.75 7	.9 5 3	.97 8	.80 6	.83 2	.92 7	.09 6	.99 6	.9 7 3	.5 9 3	- .19 8	.95 0	.80 4	.88 5	.98 2	1				
Total Variable Cost	.52 4	.8 1 6	.87 0	.59 0	.96 1	.77 0	- .20 9	.97 6	.9 9 7 [*]	.8 0 9	- .48 5	1.0 00 [*] *	.58 7	.98 4	.99 3	.95 3	1			
Total Output	.61 7	.8 7 6	.92 0	.67 7	.92 4	.83 7	- .09 8	.99 4	.9 9 9*	.7 3 8	- .38 4	.99 2	.67 4	.95 8	1.0 * 00 *	.98 1	.99 4	.99 * 9	1	
Profit	.32 5	.6 6 9	.74 0	.39 8	.99 8 [*]	.61 1	.41	.90 4	.9 5 7	.9 1 8	- .66 5	.97 8	.39 5	.99 9*	.94 3	.86 4	.97 6	.95 9	.9 4 5	1

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2- tailed)

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2 tailed)

Conclusion: The integration of aquaculture with livestock or crop farming provides quality protein food, resource utilisation, recycling of farm waste, employment generation and economic development. Integrated fish farming is well developed culture practice in China followed by Hungary, Germany and Malaysia. Our country, India, is organic-based and derives inputs from agriculture and animal husbandry. The integrated fish farming is accepted as a sustainable form of aquaculture. For integration we can use recycled effluents from agro-based industries as well as food processing plants

The bheri fisheries, for which the wetland is known globally, has been constrained due to inadequate management of water regimes, technology integration and weak marketing, post marketing and value addition opportunities. Baseline data on fish farms collected by the authority indicate a relatively higher sewage access, productivity and net returns to the large farmers. The current farm management systems indicate a skewed incentive towards the large private farmers, as against the small and medium size farms. Despite living within a highly resource rich area, the communities living within East Kolkata wetland have high rates of poverty incidence. The average household income of the wetland communities still stands equivalent to less than 70 % of the state average. Attention is needed to educate the fishermen regarding the pond management and time schedule of harvesting for the overall development of bheri fisheries.

References:

Othman, K., 2006. Integrated farming system and multifunctionality of agriculture in Malaysia. Acta Hortic., 655: 291-296

Sankhayan, P.L., 1998. Introduction to the Economics of Agricultural Production. Prentice Hall of India Private Ltd., New Delhi.

Zhang et. al 1997. Introduction to Pig farming, 2nd edition, 60 (2), 107-116



Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

Author Biography:

Mr. Aziz completed B.F.Sc. from college of fisheries, Raha, under Assam Agricultural university in 2013, MFSc from Faculty of Fishery Science under WBUAFS in 2015, He worked his research in different ICAR, AICRP, DBT projects in CIFA, CIFE and CAU as JRF and SRF. Now he is pursuing his Ph.D. from West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, Kolkata. He received Associate Scientist and Young Scientist award from GRISSAS and ASTHA foundation. He published number of articles in National & International Journals. His areas of interest are Business Management, Economic analysis, Aquaculture, Hatchery Management, Biomonitoring, Management Information System and Extension Education in different Agricultural fields. He has life membership with various scientific & professional societies & organizations.

Corresponding Author Biography:

Dr. Mamata Joysowal completed her B.V.Sc and M.V.Sc from Veterinary Science, Khanapara under Assam Agricultural University. She worked her research in different central and state veterinary institutes, now she is pursuing her Ph.D. from NDRI, Karnal. She received best seminar presentation award in international conference held in CIFA, Bhubaneshwar. She published number of articles in National & International Journals. She has life membership with various scientific & professional societies & organizations. Her areas of interest are Animal Nutrition, Feeding technology, Feed nutrient formula, PCR Molecular lab research, Parasitology, Veterinary Pathology etc.