

KNOWLEDGE OF THE BENEFICIARIES AND NON BENEFICIARIES TOWARDS ACTIVITIES OF KISAN CALL CENTERS

¹Poreddy Dileep; ²Dr. Dipak Kumar Bose; ³Dr. Jahanara

¹M.Sc.Ag (Agricultural Extension & Communication) ²Associate Professor (Department of Agricultural Extension and Communication) ³HOD Department of Agricultural Extension and Communication Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (211007) DOI: 10.47856/ijaast.2020.v07i12.001

Abstract: Government and private organizations are engaged in providing knowledge based information on agriculture to farmers through ICT based applications. It includes farmers portal and kisan call centers (KCCs). These portals are facilitating dissemination of information and advisories to farmers. These portals, mobiles based platforms and kisan call services, can be integrated together to disseminate knowledge based information among farmers effectively. Kisan call centers is one of the best sources to have two wave communications with the farmers and scientists at around the all over India with a toll free number providing services through the year. The study revealed that most of the respondents (55.00%) had medium level of knowledge followed by low (13.33%) and high (31.66%) & respondents (68.33%) had medium level of attitude followed by high (20.00%) and low (11.66%). Keywords: KCCs; Knowledge; Attitude.

INTRODUCTION

India is basically an agricultural country and agriculture sector accounts for about 15.96% of the GDP and employs 43.21% of the total workforce.

In order to harness the potential of ICT in Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture launched the scheme "Kisan Call Centers (KCCs)" on January 21st, 2004. Main aim of the project is to answer farmers" queries on a telephone call on their own dialect. These call centers are working in 14 different locations covering all the states and Uts. A countrywide common toll free number 1800-180-1551has been allotted for kisan call center .this number is accessible through mobile phones and landlines of all telecom networks including private service provides. Replies queries are given in 22 local languages.



> ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Ex-post facto research design was used for the present study. Ex-post – facto research design is an inquiry in which the researcher does not have direct control of independent variable because their manifestations occurred and they cannot be manipulated. Influences about relations among variable are made without intervention from concomitant variation of independent and dependent variable. The state of Telangana is divided into 31 administrative districts. Out of these, Karimnagar District is purposively selected for this study. In Karimnagar district comprises of 16 mandal, *Jammikunta* mandal of Karimnagar district of the Telangana state is selected through purposive sampling for the present study because adequate number of farmers are involve in KCCs. Jammikunta mandal was comprises of 58 villages, Out of these 58 villages, 6 villages were selected on the basis of KCCs by the selected respondents. Two types of farmers were selected in equal number from the each selected village who were using KCCs technology. From each selected villages, *Twenty* respondents were selected randomly thus making a total sample of 120.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF DATA:

The data collected through interview schedule were transferred on the master sheet to describe characteristics of the respondents. For various items, frequencies were counted and percentage was calculated. To interpret the results and to show the relationship between independent variable and dependent variables, Mean, Frequency, Percentage, Co-efficient correlation was followed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Socio-economic profile of the respondents

	T	Γ	-	-		N=120
			Respon	se		
S. No	Characteristics	Category	Beneficiary		Non-Beneficiary	
			F	%	F	%
		Young (<35 years)	18	30.00	30	50.00
1.	Age (in years)	Middle (36-50 years)	34	56.66	25	41.66
		Old (>50 years)	8	13.33	3	5.00



	-	ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77				
18	30.00	38	63.33			
15	25.00	12	20.00			
11	18.33	7	11.66			
8	13.33	3	5.00			

		Illiterate	18	30.00	38	63.33
		Primary school	15	25.00	12	20.00
2.	Education level	High school	11	18.33	7	11.66
		intermediate	8	13.33	3	5.00
		Graduation & above	8	13.33		
		Farming only	8	13.33	22	36.66
3.	Occupation	Farming + Service	14	23.33	17	28.33
		Farming + Business	18	30.00	11	18.33
		Farming + Service + Business	20	33.33	10	16.66
		General	5	8.33	7	11.66
4.	Caste	OBC	30	50.00	37	61.66
		SC	12	20.00	12	20.00
		ST	13	21.66	4	6.66
		Nuclear	48	80.00	49	81.66
5.	Family type	Joint	12	20.00	11	18.33
6.	Family size	Up to 4 Members	48	80.00	49	81.66
		Above > 5 Members	12	20.00	11	18.33



ISSN: 2348-1358
Impact Factor: 6.057
NAAS Rating: 3.77

r						
7.	Type of house	Hut	2	3.33	4	6.66
		Semi cemented	27	45.00	38	63.33
		Cemented	31	51.66	18	30.00
		Up to 1 ha	13	21.67	41	68.33
		1-2 ha	14	23.33	15	25.00
8.	Land holding	Above 2 ha	33	55.00	4	6.66
		40.000		10.00	25	50.24
	Annual Income	up to 40,000	6	10.00	35	58.34
0	(\mathbf{D}_{z})	40,000-80,000	16	26.67	21	35.00
9.	(KS)	Above 80,000	38	63.33	4	6.66
		Bore well	20	33.33	11	18.33
	Source of	Canal	16	26.66	27	45.00
10.	irrigation	Pond	12	20.00	10	16.66
		Others	12	20.00	12	20.00
	Live stock	Low	6	10.00	4	6.66
		Medium	41	68.33	47	78.33
11.	position	High	13	21.66	9	15.00
		Low	11	18.33	22	36.66
	Mass media	Medium	43	71.66	33	55.00
12.	exposure	High	6	10.00	5	8.33
		Low	12	20.00	40	66.66
	Extension	Medium	40	66.66	10	16.66
13.	contact	High	8	13.33	10	16.66



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

Table 2: KNOWLEDGE OF THE RESONDENTS ABOUT SERVICES THROUGH KISAN CALL CENTERS

S.NO	STATEMENT	BENEF	BENEFCIARIES		NON-EN	NON-ENEFICIARIES		
		F.C	P.C	N. C	F.C	P.C	N.C	
1	Do you know about telephone	52	6	2	54	3	3	
	/mobile number	(86.66)	(10)	(3.33)	(90)	(5)	(5)	
2	Telephone / mobile can also be	58	0	2	53	4	3	
	used for calling, SMS internet.	(96.66)	(0)	(3.33)	(88.33)	(6.66)	(5)	
3	Have you have know about	47	8	5	41	3	16	
	KCC	(78.33)	(13.33)	(8.33)	(68.33)	(5)	(26.66)	
4	Do you know about KCC	49	0	11	28	12	20	
	contact number	(81.66)	(0)	(18.33)	(46.66)	(20)	(33.33)	
5	What do you know about	40	9	11	22	32	6	
	objectives of KCC	(66.66)	(15)	(18.33)	(36.66)	(53.33)	(10)	
6	The location of KCC in	47	10	3	46	2	12	
	Telangana is at Hyderabad	(78.33)	(16.66)	(5)	(76.66)	(3.33)	(20)	
7	The KCC service is available	12	39	9	37	8	15	
	from 6.00am to 10.00 pm	(20)	(65)	(15)	(61.66)	(13.33)	(25)	
8	KCC provides information in	42	2	6	32	9	19	
	holiday also	(70)	(3.33)	(10)	(53.33)	(15)	(31.66)	
9	KCC provides information in	44	10	6	36	7	17	
	regional languages	(73.33)	(16.66)	(10)	(60)	(11.66)	(28.33)	
10	KCC provides all the	38	14	8	21	6	33	
	information in agriculture	(63.33)	(23.33)	(13.33)	(35)	(10)	(55)	
11	KCC provide SMS service to	35	10	15	19	3	38	
	the farmers	(58.33)	(16.66)	(25)	(31.66)	(5)	(63.33)	
12	KCC provide conference call	25	25	10	24	8	28	
	facility	(41.66)	(41.66)	(16.66)	(40)	(13.33)	(46.66)	
13	Do you know the name of	15	37	8	13	19	28	
	agency governing KCC in our	(25)	(61.66)	(13.33)	(21.66)	(31.66)	(46.66)	
	state	10			1.5			
14	Do you know about provision	12	16	32	16	11	33	
	of special facility of post of	(20)	(26.66)	(53.33)	(26.66)	(18.33)	(55)	
	KCC		20		-	10	10	
15	knowledge about weather	23	28	9	7	13	40	
	conditions.	(38.33)	(46.66)	(15)	(11.66)	(21.66)	(66.66)	



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

Table.3: OVERALL KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF THE RESPONDENTS

S.No	Knowledge	BENEFICIARY			NON-BENEFICIARY				
		Number	Percentage	Mean	SD	Number	Percentage	Mean	SD
1	Low	8	13.33			17	28.33		
2	Medium	33	55.00	35.98	6.04	31	51.66	33.12	7.69
3	High	19	31.66			12	20.00		
Total		60	100			60	100		

The data presented in the Beneficiary respondents shows that majority of the respondents (55.00%) had medium level of knowledge about the Kisan Call Center, while 31.66% of respondents had high and 13.33% of respondents had low level of Kisan Call Center, respectively.

Non- Beneficiary respondents shows that majority of the respondents (51.66%) had medium level of knowledge about the Kisan Call Center, while 28.33% of respondents had low and 20% of respondents had high level of Kisan Call Center, respectively.

Table 4: Relationship between independent variables and knowledge level of kisan call centers

Sl. No.	Independent Variables	Knowledge Correlation co-efficient (r)				
		Beneficiaries	Non- Beneficiaries			
1	Age	0.09NS	-0.037NS			
2	Education	0.042**	0.250NS			
3	Occupation	-0.068NS	-0.109NS			
4	Caste	-0.080NS	0.380**			
5	Family type	-0.025NS	-0.264*			
6	Family size	0.025*	-0.454**			
7	Type of house	-0.030NS	0.276*			
8	Land holding	-0.146NS	-0.094NS			
9	Income	-0.115NS	-0.536**			
10	Source of irrigation	0.091**	-0.669**			
11	Livestock possession	-0.149NS	-0.079NS			
12	Mass media exposure	0.065**	-0.768**			
13	Extension contact	0.169NS	-0.374**			



> ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

Knowledge:

Beneficiaries:

The correlation coefficients of 13 independent variables with the knowledge of the beneficiaries farmers are presented in the Table 4.

Education (0.042), Source of irrigation (0.091), and Mass media expose (0.065) are found significantly correlated at 0.01 level of probability with the Beneficiaries knowledge about Kisan Call Center. Thus, it rejects the null hypothesis. So it can be concluded that education, source of irrigation, and mass media expose influence the Beneficiaries knowledge level of the respondents about Kisan Call Center.

Family size (0.025) is found significantly correlated at 0.05 level of probability with the beneficiaries knowledge of the respondents towards use of Kisan Call Center. Thus, it rejects the null hypothesis. So it can be concluded that Family size is influence the beneficiaries knowledge of the respondents towards the use of Kisan Call Center. However, the Age (0.09NS) and extension contact (0.169NS) are positively and non-significantly correlated with Beneficiaries knowledge about Kisan Call Center. Thus, it accepts the null hypothesis. So it can be concluded that age and Extension contact are not influence the Beneficiaries knowledge level of the respondents about Kisan Call Center.

Whereas, Occupation (-0.068NS), Caste (-0.080NS), Family Type(-0.025NS) Type of House (-0.030NS), Land Holding (-0.146NS), Income (-0.115) and Livestock Possession (-0.149NS) are negatively and non-significantly correlated with Beneficiaries knowledge about Kisan Call Center. Thus, it accepts the null hypothesis. So it can be concluded that Occupation, Religion, Family Type, type of House, Land holding, Income and Livestock possession are not influence the Beneficiaries knowledge level of the respondents about Kisan Call Center.

Non-beneficiaries:

The correlation coefficients of 13 independent variables with the knowledge of the nonbeneficiaries farmers are presented in the Table 4.

It could be observed from the Table .4 the variables such as Type of house (0.283^*) , had positive and significant relationship with knowledge gain by the farmers at five per cent level of significance. Caste (0.368^{**}) had positive and significant relationship with non-beneficiaries knowledge gain by farmers at one percent level of significance. Family size (-0.501^{**}) , Income



> ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.057 NAAS Rating: 3.77

(-0.595**), Source of irrigation (-0.570**), Mass media exposure (-0.825**), and Extension contact (-0.748**), had negative and significant relationship with non-beneficiaries knowledge gain by the farmers at one per cent level of significance. Whereas, other variables such as age, education, occupation, family type, land holding, and livestock possession found to have non-significant relationship with knowledge gain of farmers.

CONCLUSION:

It was found that overall knowledge regarding KCCs in beneficiary had *medium level* of knowledge and non beneficiary had *medium to low level* of knowledge of KCCs.

REFERENCES

- [1].Pawar et al.(2011) revealed that 88.34 percent of the respondents had high level of knowledge about computer followed by 11.36 per cent medium level of knowledge about computer.
- [2].Sharma et al.(2012) revealed that 56.87 per cent that of the respondents had favorable attitude and 26.66 per cent respondents had most favorable attitude towards kisan mandal and kisan sewa Kendra.
- [3].Verma et al. (2012) revealed that 56.87 per cent of the respondents had favorable attitude and 25.63 per cent had most favorable attitude towards ICT application in agriculture.
- [4].Kumar et al. (2013) found majority of the beneficiaries (72.50 per cent) were belonged to joint families and rest 27.50 per cent beneficiaries belonged to the nuclear families.
- [5].Rudroju et al.(2013) revealed that majority of the farmers (74.29%) had suggested for providing them training in operating ICT tolls, providing adequate knowledge of various ICTs projects (67.86%) and linking ICT projects with other services(61.43%).
- [6].Singh et al (2013) found that constraint availability of the handsets compatible to the local languages of the farmers and timely reading the messages by the e- farmers.
- [7].Wayne et al. (2013) conducted a study regarding the attitude of farmers towards a participatory research method and reported that age, education and farming experience were found significant relationship with attitude towards a participatory research method used to evaluate weed management strategies in bananas at one per cent level and land holding was found significant at five per cent level of probability.