

ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

# ADAPTATION STRATEGIES OF JHUM CULTIVATORS TOWARDS CLIMATE CHANGE IN MOKOKCHUNG DISTRICT, NAGALAND

\*Imchanela Kichu; \*\*Dipak Kumar Bose; \*\*\*Jahanara

\*PG Final year; \*\*Associate Professor; \*\*\*Head
Department of Agricultural Extension and Communication
Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences
PRAYAGRAJ, UTTAR PRADESH-211007

DOI: 10.47856/ijaast.2022.v09i03.005

ABSTRACT: In the recent years, the impact of climate change for Jhum cultivators has been very apparent as jhum cultivation is purely a rain-fed agricultural system and crop production can be extremely sensitive to year-to-year weather fluctuations. The present investigation was conducted in Ongpangkong block of Mokokchung district of Nagaland. A total number of 120 respondents from 4 villages were selected using proportionate random sampling procedure. Data was collected through pre structured interview schedule and processed through primary and secondary tables and statistical analysis.

The study revealed that the overall adaptation practices towards climate change were medium. Age, farming experience, extension contacts, mass media exposure and social contact were positively significant with the adaptation level towards climate change.

Keywords: Climate change; adaptation strategies; jhum cultivators.

### **INTRODUCTION:**

Jhum (shifting cultivation) has been a part of parcel of the ethnic people living in the North-Eastern states of India. Jhum has been practised by these indigenous people since ages to ensure food security. It is purely a rain-fed agricultural system and is dependent on the rains for irrigation purpose. In Nagaland, major land use pattern continues to be shifting cultivation known as Jhum, which covers 72% of the total arable area of the state. Land under Mokokchung district is mostly cultivated under Jhum paddy of which Ongpangkong range is the highest cultivator of all the six ranges. Out of the state total Jhum paddy cultivated area of 91490 hectares,



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

Mokokchung district total cultivated area of Jhum paddy is 9350 hectares (*Statistical handbook of Nagaland 2016-17*) (**Jamir and Khan, 2019**).

Agriculture is strongly dependent on water resources and climatic conditions. Crop production is consequently extremely sensitive as per year-to-year weather fluctuations. Crop diseases or pest infestations are also weather-dependent, and tend to cause more damages in countries with lower technological levels.

Rainfall pattern in recent years in Mokokchung district exhibits uncertainties. The onset of monsoon also appears to be erratic in recent years. Frequent failure of break in monsoon across the state affects the hydro power generator. Most of the places receive heavy rainfall. Crop losses are considerably high during these extremes weather. The heavy monsoon rainfall followed by unusual summer showers affects the paddy production of the state. In short, the climate change scenario in Mokokchung district may be summarized as: excessive rainfall, landslide, decline in forest area, rice likely to be under threat.

### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The descriptive research design was used for the present study. Multi stage sampling was followed for the present study for the selection of samples. Ongpangkong block was selected by purposive sampling method as it is one of the highest area and production under Jhum Cultivation. The primary data was collected personally from the selected respondents with the help of pre-structured interviews and schedules designed for this study. The secondary data was collected from articles, text books, handbooks, journals, reports, concerned departments, etc.

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:**

- 1. To assess the socio-economic profile of the respondents.
- 2. To determine the adaptation strategies towards climate change by the jhum cultivators.



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1. Socio-economic profile of the respondents

SL.No.	Independent Variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage
1.	AGE	Young (upto 35)	9	7.50
		Middle aged (36-55)	67	55.83
		Old (above 55)	44	36.67
2.	EDUCATION	Illiterate	16	13.33
		Primary School	6	5.00
		Middle School	23	19.17
		High School	45	37.50
		Secondary School	28	23.33
		Graduate & Above	2	1.67
3.	FAMILY TYPE	Nuclear	85	70.83
		Joint	35	29.17
4.	FAMILY SIZE	Upto 5	68	56.67
		More than 5	52	43.33
5.	TYPE OF	Hut	16	13.33
HOUSE	HOUSE	Semi- cemented	69	57.50
		Cemented	35	29.17



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

INCOME	6.	ANNUAL	Below 1,00	,000	43	35.84
7. LAND HOLDING    Marginal Farmers (<2.5 acre)   13   10.83		INCOME	1,00,001 – 2	2,00,000	70	58.33
HOLDING   (<2.5 acre)			Above 2,00	,000	7	5.83
HOLDING   (<2.5 acre)						
Small Farmers (2.51 to 5.00 acre)   73   60.84	7.	LAND	Marginal Fa	armers	13	10.83
Medium Farmers (5.0 to 10 acre)   Medium Farmers (5.0 to 10 acre)   Selow 10 years   23   19.16		HOLDING	(<2.5 acre)			
Medium Farmers (5.0 to 10 acre)   34   28.33					73	60.84
			Medium Fa	rmers	34	28.33
	8.	FARMING	Below 10 v	ears	23	19.16
9.       EXTENSION CONTACT       Agri Officer       Frequently 7 5.83         Never       102       85.00         AFA       Frequently 7 5.83         Sometimes       24       20.00         Never       89       74.17         ATMA       Frequently       27       22.50         Sometimes       64       53.33         Never       29       24.17         KVK       Frequently       6       5.82         Sometimes       28       23.34         Never       85       70.84						
CONTACT         Sometimes         11         9.17           Never         102         85.00           AFA         Frequently         7         5.83           Sometimes         24         20.00           Never         89         74.17           ATMA         Frequently         27         22.50           Sometimes         64         53.33           Never         29         24.17           KVK         Frequently         6         5.82           Sometimes         28         23.34           Never         85         70.84			·		47	39.17
CONTACT         Sometimes         11         9.17           Never         102         85.00           AFA         Frequently         7         5.83           Sometimes         24         20.00           Never         89         74.17           ATMA         Frequently         27         22.50           Sometimes         64         53.33           Never         29         24.17           KVK         Frequently         6         5.82           Sometimes         28         23.34           Never         85         70.84						
Never   102   85.00	9.		_		-	
AFA		CONTACT	Officer	Sometimes	11	9.17
Sometimes   24   20.00     Never   89   74.17     ATMA   Frequently   27   22.50     Sometimes   64   53.33     Never   29   24.17     KVK   Frequently   6   5.82     Sometimes   28   23.34     Never   85   70.84				Never	102	85.00
Never         89         74.17           ATMA         Frequently         27         22.50           Sometimes         64         53.33           Never         29         24.17           KVK         Frequently         6         5.82           Sometimes         28         23.34           Never         85         70.84			AFA	Frequently	7	5.83
ATMA         Frequently         27         22.50           Sometimes         64         53.33           Never         29         24.17           KVK         Frequently         6         5.82           Sometimes         28         23.34           Never         85         70.84				Sometimes	24	20.00
Sometimes         64         53.33           Never         29         24.17           KVK         Frequently         6         5.82           Sometimes         28         23.34           Never         85         70.84				Never	89	74.17
Never         29         24.17           KVK         Frequently         6         5.82           Sometimes         28         23.34           Never         85         70.84			ATMA	Frequently	27	22.50
KVK         Frequently         6         5.82           Sometimes         28         23.34           Never         85         70.84				Sometimes	64	53.33
Sometimes         28         23.34           Never         85         70.84				Never	29	24.17
Never 85 70.84			KVK	Frequently	6	5.82
				Sometimes	28	23.34
Area Frequently 23 19.17				Never	85	70.84
			Area	Frequently	23	19.17



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

		Coordinator	Sometimes	91	75.83
			Never	6	5.00
10.	SOCIAL CONTACTS	(>5.89)	Low	19	15.83
	CONTACTS	(> 3.07)	Medium (5.89 –	78	65.00
		7.77)	`		
		(<7.77)	High	23	19.17
11.	INFORMATION SOURCES	Mass Media	Low (>15.98)	12	10.00
	UTILIZATION	Sources	Medium (15.98-18.0)	104	86.67
			High (<18.0)	4	3.33
		Formal Inf.	Low (>9.5)	17	14.16
		Sources	Medium (9.5-11.4)	81	67.50
			High (<11.4)	18	18.34
		Informal	Low (>3.5)	4	3.33
		Inf. Sources	Medium (3.5-	95	79.16
			6.57)		
			High (<6.57)	25	17.51



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

jhum cultivation and 71.66 per cent had medium overall extension contact, 65.00 per cent of the respondents had medium overall social contact, 86.67 per cent of the respondents had medium mass media sources utilization towards climate change, 67.50 per cent of the respondents had medium formal information sources utilization towards climate change, 79.16 per cent of the respondents had medium informal information sources utilization towards climate change. Similar findings is also reported by Vishwanath (2013), Ambavane (2014) and Verma et al., (2013)

Table 2: Adaptation measures or practices towards climate change

SL. NO.	PRACTICES	Fully Adapted	Partially Adapted	Not Adapted
a.	Rice Varieties	62	46	12
	- Pursuing field trials of drought tolerant and disease resistant varieties	(51.67)	(38.33)	(10.00)
b.	Soil -Construction of low cost bunds using bamboo splits, felled trees, available rocks and boulders -Contour bunding -Bench Terracing	98 (81.66)	11 (9.17)	11 (9.17)
c.	Water Harvesting -Construction of Low Cost Water Harvesting Structures -Setting up of Jalkunds -Setting up of Fishery Ponds -Community Forest Conservation for ground water recharge & construction of siltation tanks	49 (40.83)	62 (51.56)	9 (7.61)
d.	Planting Material -Treatment of seeds/planting material with hot water -Treatment of seeds/planting material with bio-control agents for disease and	32 (26.66)	77 (64.17)	11 (9.17)



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

	pest management			
	-Treatment of seeds and planting			
	material with bio-fertilizers for			
	enhanced germination and improved			
	crop vigour			
e.	Use of Fertilizers			
	-Non usage of inorganic fertilizers	28	79	12
	-Foliar spray of organic plant nutrients		(65.83)	13
	-Legume crops cultivation in mixed/relay/sequential/double	(23.34)	(32332)	(10.83)
	cropping systems for nitrogen			
	availability			
	-Green manuring followed			
	-Azolla cultivation in TRC/WRC			
	fields for nutrient management			
	-Vermicompost/plant based or animal			
	based organic compost utilized for			
	organic nutrient management			
f.	Change in Sowing Time	14	90	16
	-Staggered planting is followed	(11.67)	(75.00)	(13.33)
	-Crop cultivation under protected		,	,
	structures			
g.	ITK			
	-ITKs have been used since time	70	40	10
	immemorial for pests and disease	(58.33)	(33.33)	(8.34)
	management, determination of			
	cropping or sowing time, post-harvest			
	management etc.			
h.	Disease & Pest			
	-Conservation of natural enemies,	61	50	9
	cultural and mechanical management	(50.83)	(41.67)	(7.50)
	measures are adopted			
<u></u>	-Bio-control measures are followed			

From table 2, it was found that 51.66% of the respondents have fully adapted various strategies for rice, 81.66% of the respondents have fully adapted various strategies for soil, there is poor strategies being adapted for water harvesting as 51.56% of the respondents have partially adapted various strategies for water



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

harvesting, 64.16% of the respondents have partially adapted strategies for planting material, 65.83% of the respondents have partially adapted various strategies for use of fertilizers, 75.00% of the respondents have partially adapted strategies for change in sowing time, 58.33% of the respondents use ITK's as an adaptation strategy, 50.83% of the respondents have fully adapted various adaptation strategies to manage disease & pest.

Table 3: Distribution of respondents according to their overall adaptation towards climate change

Sl. No.	Category	Frequency	Percentage
1.	Low (>11.52)	10	8.33
2.	Medium (11.52 - 12.74)	89	74.17
3.	High (<12.74)	21	17.50
	TOTAL	120	100.00

From table 3, it was found that the overall adaptation level of the respondents towards climate change is medium. Similar findings is also reported by **Reddy** *et al.*, (2018) and **Venkataramulu** *et al.*, (2010)

Table 4: ASSOCIATION BETWEEN SELECTED INDEPENDENT VARIABLES WITH ADAPTATION OF THE RESPONDENTS

Sl. No.	Variables	"r" value
1.	Age	-0.196*
2.	Education	0.008 NS
3.	Family type	0.050 NS
4.	Family size	-0.027 NS



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

5.	Type of house	0.077NS
6.	Annual income	0.014 NS
7.	Land holding	0.064 NS
8.	Farming experience	0.198*
9.	Extension contact	0.440 *
10.	Mass media exposure	0.206*
11.	Social contact	0.260 *

<sup>\* =</sup> Significant at p = 0.05%, NS= Non Significant.

The finding indicates that age, farming experience, extension contact, mass media exposure, and social contact are positively significant with the adaptation level of the respondents towards climate change. Whereas education, family type, family size, type of house, annual income and land holding had non-significant correlation with the adaptation level of the respondents towards climate change. Similar findings were reported by **Mane** *et al* (2012) and **Gurjar** (2016)

### **CONCLUSION**

It is concluded that majority of the respondents were middle aged, majority belonged to nuclear type of family with family size upto 5 members, majority of the respondents had an annual income of 1,00,001 – 2,00,000. Majority of the respondents had medium level of social contacts, medium level of extension contacts, medium level of overall information sources utilization in access to weather information. There is almost no advanced technological adaptation for the Jhum cultivators to adapt to climate change through advanced technologies. On the other hand, the farmers have been mostly using their conventional agricultural practices to react to climate-change impacts. Majority of the respondents still use the traditional weather forecasting techniques to predict weather. It is therefore concluded from the study that majority of



ISSN: 2348-1358 Impact Factor: 6.901 NAAS Rating: 3.77

the respondents fall under medium adaptation level towards climate change. The study indicates that farmers having access to climate information and agricultural extension services and participation in trainings have a higher ability to adopt strategies to adapt to climate warming. It was also found that age, farming experience, extension contact, mass media exposure and social contact were positively associated with the adaptation level of the respondents.

Further, the study also revealed that among the many constraints faced by the respondents in the study area were lack of proper marketing channel, difficulties to get planting material or new varieties to help adapt with climate change, crop losses due to climate change.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Gurjar, S. (2016) Knowledge and Adoption of recommended cultivation practices of Gram by the farmers of district Jaipur, Rajasthan. M.Sc (Ag) Thesis, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner.
- [2]. Jamir C., Sharma N., Ravindranathz N.H., (2013), Farmers' vulnerability to climate variability in Dimapur district of Nagaland, India. Regional Environmental Change 13, 153-164
- [3]. Jamir M., Khan T., (2019), Climate Change Scenario and Its Impact on Jhum Paddy in Mokokchung District, International Journal of Research and Review, E-ISSN: 2349-9788; P-ISSN: 2454-2237, *International Journal of Research & Review* (www.ijrrjournal.com) 211 Vol.6; Issue: 2; February 2019, Pg 112
- [4]. Mane, .S.S., Kolgane, B.T. and Khogare, D.T. (2012). Study on knowledge and adoption of *Kharif* green gram cultivation practices. *Agric. Update*, 7(1&2):23-26.
- [5]. Sharma A., (2014). "Sustainable economic analysis and extent of satisfaction level of king chilli growers in Nagaland." Agriculture for Sustainable Development, 2 (1): 71-4.
- [6]. Sharma (2017), Faced with climate change, Nagaland to revive traditional rice varieties.
- [7]. Swea M., Shrestha PR., Ebber T. and Jourdain D., (2014), EcoDev, Farmers' perception of and adaptation to climate-change impacts in the Dry Zone of Myanmar Burma, Myanmar; bAsian Institute of Technology, Pathumthani, Thailand; cCIRAD, UMR G-EAU, Montpellier, France.
- [8]. Tekale, V.S., Bhalekar, D.N. and Shaikh, J.I., (2013) "Entrepreneurial behaviour of dairy farmers." *International J. of Exten. Edu.* 9: 32-36.